



Maximising the Development Value of Land affected by Japanese knotweed

By Nicolas Seal – Environet UK Ltd Published August 2017

If you are looking to buy or sell development land you should be aware that Japanese knotweed can significantly reduce its value.

The reduction in value is normally calculated based upon the cost of remediation plus the risk factor for the inherent risks associated with developing knotweed affected land. Typical risks include;

- Difficulty in securing external funding on land affected by knotweed
- Delays caused by planning objections from aggrieved neighbours
- Civil nuisance claims by adjoining owners for knotweed encroachment
- Increased costs and delays to construction
- Saleability of the developed land
- Litigation risk post sale

Cost of remediation is relatively easy to determine, usually being assessed on worst case scenario physical excavation and off-site disposal to landfill, which can amount to tens, if not hundreds of thousands of pounds. Assigning a value to the risks is a bit more subjective; price offered being dictated by the buyer's perception of the risks. For a badly infested site in an area of relatively low land values one might find the land is worth very little.



Photo 1 - A development site with a large infestation of Japanese knotweed

Developers should take note of a survey carried out by YouGov and Environet in 2017, which found an astonishingly high level of knotweed awareness amongst UK homeowners, with 78% saying they would be put off buying a property affected by knotweed.

However, a good knotweed specialist can provide the key to maximising the development value by finding the most suited and cost-effective guaranteed remediation





method, and by helping to manage the inherent risks.

Herbicide is the cheapest method. However, it takes repeated applications over many years, and even then, there's no certainty that the plant's root and rhizome system is dead, resulting in regrowth. It's an accepted method if ground is not to be disturbed, and some regrowth can be tolerated.

# Case Study – Failed Herbicide Treatment on Development Site

Having spent over £50,000 securing planning permission a developer wanted to exercise an option to purchase the land. The option agreement put the obligation on the owner to eradicate the knotweed. The owner therefore commissioned a company purporting to be knotweed specialists to herbicide treat the knotweed. Prior to exercising the option it became apparent that the knotweed had not been eradicated, merely put into dormancy, with obvious bonsai regrowth. Further investigation revealed the extent of knotweed rhizome in the ground and that the knotweed had been repeatedly treated for 7 years. When asked when the eradication guarantee would be issued the response was "when it's dead!"

The specified method was flawed from the outset. But this also illustrates that a guarantee with no commencement timeframe gives so much wriggle – room that it makes the guarantee next to worthless.

The extent of knotweed infested soil was estimated to cost in the region of £400,000, a cost that was not budgeted for and not reflected in the agreed sale price. The option was not exercised, leaving the developer out of pocket by over £50k. We believe the land is still for sale!

© Copyright 2017 - Environet UK Ltd

Physical excavation of the root and rhizome system is a better method for development land, because it's quicker and more effective. However, it's expensive, especially if the infested soils are consigned to landfill.

There are other alternatives, notably Xtract™, developed and patented by Environet whereby infested soils are processed on site to remove the viable rhizomes. The soils are re-used on site, thereby avoiding the excessive costs of off-site disposal and import of clean fill material. This method typically costs half that of disposal to landfill, and comes with 10 year insurance backed guarantees, underwritten by an "A" rated syndicate at Lloyd's.



Photo 2 - Loading the Xtract machine for on-site processing of knotweed infested soils

You might think that having commissioned a specialist to get the site remediated is the end of your knotweed problems. Unfortunately that might not be the case, as risks remain that need to be managed.





It's not uncommon to find neighbours trying to frustrate development by objecting to planning. Whilst this tactic is unlikely to succeed, it may result in the imposition of a planning condition that will need to be discharged prior to commencement on site. A reputable knotweed specialist should be able to assist.



Photo 3 - Japanese knotweed does not respect property boundaries, encroachment being the cause of many neighbour disputes and litigation

Japanese knotweed does not respect property boundaries. Encroachment, the spread from one parcel of land to another, is common. Aggrieved neighbours are increasingly resorting to litigation to resolve

such issues, so it is paramount that action is taken early to prevent or at least mitigate the risk to provide a strong defence to counter any expensive claim.

Delays in construction programmes cost money. Unfortunately, Japanese knotweed remediation is not a precise science, and anyone with experience of working in the ground, will appreciate that plenty can go wrong. Common examples include encountering groundwater, ground contamination, below ground obstructions such as live services, all of which increase costs and result in time delays.



Photo 4 - An unwelcome sight for any house-owner





For housing developments nearing completion, savvy solicitors acting for buyers will ask whether the site is or was previously affected by knotweed. The developer will need to disclose the facts or risk future litigation. The buyer, backed by his solicitor and lender, may demand an insurance backed guarantee (IBG) for a period of 10 years. If it can't be provided it's likely the sale will stall.



Photo 5 - Knotweed growing from a recently completed construction project

A developer who does not disclose to a buyer that the land was affected by Japanese knotweed puts himself at unnecessary litigation risk. If Japanese knotweed emerges, the buyer as the new owner will be annoyed, and ask the developer to put things right. The new owner may also decide to claim for diminution in value.

If however the developer discloses that knotweed was present and offers a plot specific IBG from a reputable knotweed specialist the new owner will be able to call on the IBG. The developer in this instance should be better protected from any litigation risk.

## Case Study – Knotweed Disclosure on New Build

A house buyer bought a new-build from a developer. During the conveyance he asked his solicitor to get the developer to complete the Law Society's Pre-Contract Enquiry form TA6. His solicitor told him it wasn't necessary as it was new-build. The solicitor was told to at least ask whether the property was affected by Japanese knotweed. The solicitor failed to do so. Three months later the buyer now owns a property evidently infested with knotweed.

The buyer is seeking legal redress, firstly from the developer for breach of contract, and secondly from his solicitor for professional negligence.

This could easily have been avoided if the knotweed was disclosed during the conveyance process.

A good knotweed specialist will help a developer choose the right remediation method, provide the guarantees likely to be required by any buyer and their lender, and avoid the myriad of pitfalls found on knotweed infested development sites.

Sign up for more Japanese knotweed <u>Beacon</u> Articles here or visit environetuk.com/beacon.

The information contained in this publication is of a general nature and is not a substitute for professional advice. It is recommended you obtain specific professional advice before you take any action. No responsibility for loss occasioned by any person acting or refraining from action as a result of this material can be accepted by the author or by Environet UK Ltd.